

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pager Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid QMB control number.

Under the Paper Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required		Application Numbe		9/882,765	
TRANSMITTAL					
FORM		Filing Date	0	6/15/2001	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		First Named Invento	or F	Robert D. Kern et al	
		Group Art Unit	2	834	
•	*	Examiner Name	J	aydi A. Aguirrechea	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Nun	nber 2	09/882,765  06/15/2001  Robert D. Kern et al  2834  Jaydi A. Aguirrechea  297.006	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
X Fee Transmittal Form		ment Papers Application)		After Allowance Communication to Group	
Fee Attached	Drawin	g(s)		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		ing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition		Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration		to Convert to a onal Application		Status Letter	
Extension of Time Reques		of Attorney, Revocation e of Correspondence	X	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Re	wort	al Disclaimer	Retur	n Postcard	
Information Disclosure State	ement Reque	st for Refund			
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		umber of CD(s)			
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Peter C. Sto	Firm Peter C. Stomma, Reg. No. 36,020				
Individual name  Boyle, Fredrickson, Newholm, Stein & Gratz S.C.					
250 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1030					
Milwaukee, WI 53202					
Signature					
Date 1/1/07	Date UNITS				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: 11/11/2002					
Type or printed name Christine Kierzek					
Signature Christine Treszeh Date 11/11/2002				11/11/2002	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time your are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. MB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE of a collection of information unless it difference and its OALD and the Commerce of the Comme Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required

**EE TRANSMITTAL** for FY 2002

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

to respond to a collection of information diffess it displays a valid come of the			
Complete if Known			
Application Number	09/882,765		
Filing Date	06/15/2001		
First Named Inventor	Robert D. Kern et al.		
Examiner Name	Jaydi A. Acuirrechea		
Group Art Unit	2834		
Attorney Docket No.	297.006		

FEE CALCULATION (continued) METHOD OF PAYMENT ADDITIONAL FEES The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge 1. indicated fees and credit any overpayments to: Small Large Entity **Entity** Fee Deposit Account Number Fee Fee Fee Fee Paid 50-1170 Code Code (\$) Fee Description (\$) Deposit 130 205 65 Surcharge – late filing fee or oath 105 Boyle Fredrickson Newholm Stein & Gratz Account Name S.C. Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover Charge Any Additional Fee Required 227 25 50 127 X Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 139 130 Non-English specification Applicant claims small entity status 130 139 See 37 CJR 1.27 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 2,520 147 2.520 147 2. Payment Enclosed: Requesting publication of SIR prior to Money Order 920\* 112 9201 112 Other Credit Card Check Examiner action Requesting publication of SIR after 113 1.8401 113 1.840 **FEE CALCULATION** Examiner action 110 215 55 Extension for reply within first month 115 1. BASIC FILING FEE Extension for reply within second month 390 216 195 Large Entity **Small Entity** Fee Description 890 217 445 Extension for reply within third month Fee (\$) Fee Code Fee (\$) 117 Fee Code Fee Paid 695 Extension for reply within fourth month 118 1,390 218 201 355 Utility filing fee 101 710 Extension for reply within fifth month 128 1.890 228 945 106 320 206 160 Design filing fee 219 155 Notice of Appeal 119 310 207 245 Plant filing fee 107 490 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 120 310 220 155 355 Reissue filing fee 108 710 208 121 270 221 135 Request for oral hearing Provisional filing fee 114 150 214 75 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 138 1.510 138 1.510 Petition to revive - unavoidable 240 55 140 110 SUBTOTAL (1) 241 620 Petition to revive – unintentional 1,240 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES 620 Utility issue fee (or reissue) 142 1,240 242 Fee Paid Extra Claims Below 440 243 220 Design issue fee -20\*\*= х 143 **Total Claims** Independent Claims х 300 Plant issue fee 144 600 244 - 3\*\*= 122 130 122 130 Petitions to the Commissioner Multiple Dependent 123 50 123 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) (Previously Paid for 2 extra independent claims) Small Entity Fee Fee Large Entity Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt 180 126 180 126 **Fee Description** Code (\$) Code (\$) Recording each patent assignment per 40 40 581 Claims in excess of 20 581 103 18 203 9 property (times number of properties) Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a)) 146 710 246 355 Independent claims in excess of 3 102 80 202 40 For each additional invention to be 355 249 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 149 710 270 204 135 104 examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b)) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Reissue independent claims over 355 179 710 279 109 80 209 40 original patent Request for expedited examination Reissue claims in excess of 20 900 169 900 169 110 18 210 of a design application and over original patent SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) Other fee (specify) SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)40 \*\* or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above \*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid Complete (if applicable) SUBMITTED BY Registration No (414)255-9755

> WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

(Attorney/Agent)

36,020

Telephone

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Name (Print/Type)

Signature

Peter C. Stomma.

PE CON 1 8 2000 PE STORY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

#5 RESP. -1.BELL. PATENT 11.22.02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of:	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
)	I hereby certify that this correspondence is
ROBERT D. KERN ET AL.	being deposited with the United States $Q$
)	Postal Service as first class mail in an
Serial No.: 09/882,765	Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks,
)	Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks,
Filed: June 15, 2001	Box Amendment, Washington, D.C.
)	20231 on // //, 2002.
Examiner: J. A. Aguirrechea	
)	
Art Unit: 2834	Christine Kierzek
)	
SYSTEM CONTROLLER AND METHOD )	Christene Keerzeh 11/11/02
FOR MONITORING AND CONTROLLING)	Signature Date
A PLURALITY OF GENERATOR SETS )	

## RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Box Response Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action dated August 14, 2002, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the following comments.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-6 and 10-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Thompson et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,734,255. In addition, claims 7-9 and 16-24 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Thompson et al. '255 in view of Nyenya, U.S. Patent No. 5,278,771. As hereinafter described, it is believed that the claims, as they presently stand, define over the cited references and reconsideration of the Examiner's rejections is respectfully requested.

Page 2

Claim 1 defines a controller for controlling one or more generator sets connectable to a load. Each generator set has the ability to be started and stopped and includes a generator communications link for connecting the generator set to a network. Each generator set also includes an engine and a generator driven by the engine which generates AC power having a magnitude and a power factor and AC voltage having a magnitude and frequency. The controller includes a user interface for allowing the user to select a generator set and to set values for various predetermined operating parameters of the selected generator set. A communication link is connectable to the network for transmitting the user set values of the predetermined operating parameters to the selected generator set. As hereinafter described, none of the cited references show or suggest providing a user interface for transmitting user set values for a generator set over a network to the generator set. As such, it is believed that independent claim 1 defines over the cited reference and passage to allowance is respectfully requested.

The Thompson et al. '255 patent is directed towards a system for providing electrical power to a remote communities that are distributed over an extended geographical area. The system includes a generating station that is in electronic communication with a central computer. The generating station includes a plurality of generators controlled by a microprocessor-based controller. However, contrary to the Examiner's suggestion, Thompson et al. '255 patent does not show or suggest a user interface that allows a remote user to select a generator set; to set values for various predetermined operating parameters of the selected generator set; and to transmit those user selected values to the generator set over the network

Referring to Column 8, lines 35-58 of the Thompson et al. 255 patent, it states that the controller 64 of the system is arranged to monitor various parameters and malfunction warning for the generators of the system. These parameters and malfunction warnings may be transmitted to a central computer where the parameters and malfunction warnings may be continually monitored at a remote location. If a potentially catastrophic malfunction can be anticipated from

Page 3

such warnings, personnel can be dispatched to rectify the conditions threatening the malfunction before it occurs. Hence, unlike the controller of independent claim 1, the system disclosed in the Thompson et al. '255 patent does not include a user interface that allows to set and a user to transmit the values of various operating parameters to a selected generator set over a network. (underlining added) The system disclosed in the Thompson et al. '255 patent merely allows a remote user to monitor various parameters on the generators. If the system of the Thompson et al. '255 patent would suggest two way communication as required by independent claim 1, the system would not contemplate the dispatching of personnel to rectify warning conditions of the generators of the system.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that independent claim 1 defines over the cited reference and passage to allowance is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-9 depend either directly or indirectly from independent claim 1 and further define a controller not shown or suggested in the prior art. By way of example, claims 3 and 5-9 define various aspects of the user interface. For example, claims 3, 5-6 and 8-9 define various screens which may be accessed through the user interface. Such screens are not shown or suggested in the Thompson et al. '255 patent. Further, nothing in the cited Nyenya '771 patent shows or suggests providing various screens for the user interface of the controller of the present invention. As such, it is believed that claims 2-9 are allowable as depending from an allowable base claim and in view of the subject matter of each claim.

Referring to Fig. 10, a power generator system is defined for providing electrical power. The power generator system includes at least one generator set, a user interface for allowing a user to select a generator set and to set values for various predetermined operating parameters of the selected generator set, and a system communication link connectable to a network for allowing the user set values of the predetermined operating parameters to be transferred to the generator control of the selected generator sets.

Page 4

As heretofore described with respect to independent claim 1, none of the cited references show or suggest a user interface that allows a user to select a generator set, to select values for various operating parameters of the selected generator set, and to transmit those user set values of the predetermined operating parameters to the generator control of the selected generator set over the network. As such, it is believed that independent claim 10 defines over the cited reference, and passage to allowance is respectfully requested.

Claims 11-18 depend from claim 10 and further define a power generation system not shown or suggested in the cited references. It is believed that claims 11-18 are allowable as depending from an allowable base claim and in view of the subject matter of each claim.

Claim 19 defines a method of managing the distribution of electrical power. The method includes the step of interconnecting at least one generator set to a load and to a network. Each generator set has the ability to start and stop. A generator set is selected and various predetermined operating parameters of the selected generator set are set. The settings of the predetermined operating parameters are transmitted over the network to the selected generator set.

As heretofore described with respect to independent claims 1 and 10, nothing in the cited reference shows or suggests the settings of various operating parameters of a generator at a remote location and transmitting the setting of the predetermined operating parameters over a network to a selected generator set. The suggestion of such methodology is entirely absent from the Thompson et al. '255 reference and the Nyenya '771 patent. As such, it is believed that independent claim 19 defines over the cited references, and passage to allowance is respectfully requested.

Page 5

Claims 20-24 further define a method of managing the distribution of the electrical power that is not shown or suggested in the prior art. It is believed that claims 20-24 are allowable as depending from an allowable base claim and in view of the subject matter of each claim.

Applicant believes that the present application with claims 1-24 is in proper form for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter C. Stomma

Registration No.36,020

Dated: November 11, 2002

BOYLE, FREDRICKSON, NEWHOLM, STEIN & GRATZ, S.C. 250 Plaza, Suite 1030 250 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202

Telephone: (414) 225-9755 Facsimile: (414) 225-9753 Docket No.: 297.006